IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4429 OF 2005

Deepak Ashok Bhangale & Anr. ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri. G.M.Kulkarni with Shri. P.K.Kutty for the Applicants.
Shri. K.V. Saste, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.
DATED : JULY 26, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Counsel appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The Applicants are the co-accused in an offence registered under sections 395, 397, 342 read with section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that there is absolutely no material on record against the Applicants. He submitted that a custodial interrogation of the Applicants is not required. The learned A.P.P.on instructions stated that after completing investigation, chargesheet will be filed within a period of one week from today.

2. Considering the gravity of the allegations and considering the fact that section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code has been subsequently applied, this is not a case where Applicants can be enlarged on bail before the chargesheet is filed. The statement made by the learned A.P.P. that the chargesheet will be filed within a period of one week from today is accepted. Accordingly, Application is rejected. However, it

will be open for the Applicants to make a fresh Application before the Sessions Court immediately after chargesheet is filed. If such Application is made by the Applicants, the same will be decided on its own merits.

JUDGE